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1. Overview

This document specifies the guidelines on how the SPEC SFS 2014 Benchmark is to be run for measuring and publicly reporting performance results. These rules have been established by the SPEC SFS subcommittee and approved by the SPEC Open Systems Steering Committee. They ensure that results generated with this suite are meaningful, comparable to other generated results, and are repeatable (with documentation covering factors pertinent to duplicating the results).

This document provides the rules to follow for all submitted, reported, published and publicly disclosed runs of the SPEC Solution File Server (SPEC SFS 2014) Benchmark according to the norms specified and approved by the SPEC SFS subcommittee. These run rules also form the basis for determining which server hardware and software features are allowed for benchmark execution and result publication.

Related documents:
- SPEC benchmarks are licensed software. A sample license may be found at the benchmark order page, [https://www.spec.org/order.html#license](https://www.spec.org/order.html#license). As noted in the license:
  - From time to time, the SFS subcommittee may update these rules. Updates will be posted at [www.spec.org/sfs2014](http://www.spec.org/sfs2014).
  - All results publicly disclosed must adhere to these Run and Reporting Rules, and must comply with the SPEC Fair Use rule, [www.spec.org/fairuse.html](http://www.spec.org/fairuse.html).
  - In the event that the user of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark suite does not adhere to the rules set forth herein, SPEC may choose to terminate the license, as described at [http://www.spec.org/spec/docs/pennaltiesremedies.pdf](http://www.spec.org/spec/docs/pennaltiesremedies.pdf).
- The SFS subcommittee is a part of the SPEC Open Systems Group, which maintains a policy document at [www.spec.org/osg/policy.html](http://www.spec.org/osg/policy.html).

As a requirement of the license of the benchmark, these Run and Reporting Rules must be followed.

Per the SPEC license agreement, all results publicly disclosed must adhere to these Run and Reporting Rules.

The general philosophy behind the set of rules for benchmark execution is to ensure that benchmark results can be reproduced if desired:

1. All data published must be gathered from benchmark execution conducted according to the Run and Reporting Rules described in this chapter.
2. Benchmark execution must complete in its entirety and normally without benchmark failure or benchmark error messages.
3. The complete hardware, software, and network configuration used for the benchmark execution must be published. This includes any special server hardware, client hardware or software features.
4. Use of software features which invoke, generate or use software designed specifically for the benchmark is not allowed. Configuration options chosen for benchmark execution must be options that would be generally recommended for the customer.
5. The entire Solution under test (SUT), including all components and services, shall be generally available within 6 weeks of the first publication of the results. If the solution was not generally available on the date tested, the generally available solution’s performance must meet or exceed that of the solution tested for the initially reported performance. If the generally available solution does not meet the reported performance, the lower performing results from the generally available solution shall be published. However, lower results are acceptable if the margin of error for peak business metric is less than one percent (1%) and the margin of error for overall response time is less than five percent (5%).

Products are considered generally available if they can be ordered by ordinary customers and ship within
a reasonable time frame. This time frame is a function of the product size and classification, and common practice. The availability of support and documentation for the products must coincide with the release of the products.

SFS results must not rely on so-called “benchmark specials”, which improve benchmark scores but fail one or more tests of general availability. These tests are described at https://www.spec.org/osg/policy.html#AppendixC, “Guidelines for General Availability”.

Hardware and software products must be generally available and still actively supported via paid or community support as defined in https://www.spec.org/osg/policy.html#AppendixC, “Guidelines for General Availability”.

In the disclosure, the submitting vendor must identify any SUT component that can no longer be ordered from the primary vendor by ordinary customers.

1.1 Definitions

• Benchmark refers to the SPEC SFS® 2014 Benchmark release of the source code and corresponding workloads.

• Disclosure or disclosing refers to the act of distributing results obtained by the execution of the benchmark and its corresponding workloads. This includes but is not limited to the disclosure to SPEC for inclusion on the SPEC web site or in paper publication by other organizations or individuals. This does not include the disclosure of results between the user of the benchmark and a second party where there exists a confidential disclosure agreement between the two parties relating to the benchmark results.

1.2 Philosophy

SPEC believes the user community will benefit from an objective series of tests, which can serve as common reference and be considered as part of an evaluation process. SPEC is aware of the importance of optimizations in producing the best system performance. SPEC is also aware that it is sometimes hard to draw an exact line between legitimate optimizations that happen to benefit SPEC benchmarks and optimizations that specifically target the SPEC benchmarks. However, with the list below, SPEC wants to increase awareness of implementers and end users to issues of unwanted benchmark-specific optimizations that would be incompatible with SPEC’s goal of fair benchmarking.

SPEC expects that any public use of results from this benchmark suite shall be for Solutions Under Test (SUTs) and configurations that are appropriate for public consumption and comparison. Thus, it is also required that:

• Hardware and software used to run this benchmark must provide a suitable environment for supporting the specific application area addressed by this benchmark using the common accepted standards that help define this application space.

• Optimizations utilized must improve performance for a larger class of workloads than just the ones defined by this benchmark suite. There must be no benchmark specific optimizations.

• The SUT and configuration is generally available, documented, supported, and encouraged in customer production environments for the workloads that were used in the publication.
To ensure that results are relevant to end-users, SPEC requires that any disclosed result has the availability of a full disclosure report.

1.3 Caveats

SPEC reserves the right to investigate any case where it appears that these guidelines and the associated benchmark run and reporting rules have not been followed for a published SPEC benchmark result. SPEC may request that the result be withdrawn from the public forum in which it appears and that the tester correct any deficiency in product or process before submitting or publishing future results. SPEC reserves the right to modify the benchmark workloads, and rules of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark as deemed necessary to preserve the goal of fair benchmarking. SPEC will notify members and licensees if changes are made to the benchmark and may rename the metrics. (e.g. from SPEC SFS2014_vda to SPEC SFS2014_vdaX).

Relevant standards are cited in these run rules as URL references, and are current as of the date of publication. Changes or updates to these referenced documents or URL's may necessitate repairs to the links and/or amendment of the run rules. The most current run rules will be available at the SPEC web site at http://www.spec.org/sfs2014. As described in the license, if substantive changes are made to these rules, a notice will also be posted at SPEC’s top level page, http://www.spec.org/.

2. Results Disclosure and Usage

SPEC encourages the submission of results for review by the relevant subcommittee and subsequent publication on SPEC's web site. Vendors may publish compliant SFS 2014 results independently. Any SPEC member may request a full disclosure report for independently published results and the tester must comply within 10 business days. Procedures for such requests are described at https://www.spec.org/osg/policy.html#s2.3.7, 2.3.7 Required Disclosure for Independently Published Results.

Issues raised concerning a result's compliance to the run and reporting rules will be taken up by the relevant subcommittee regardless of whether or not the result was formally submitted to SPEC.

A SPEC SFS 2014 result produced in compliance with these run and reporting rules may be publicly disclosed and represented as a valid SPEC SFS 2014 result.

SPEC SFS 2014 results that are submitted to SPEC will be reviewed by the SFS subcommittee, using the review process described at https://www.spec.org/osg/policy.html#s2.3.1, Results Review. The review process uses peer review to improve consistency in the understanding, application, and interpretation of the run and reporting rules set forth in this document.

Results that are accepted for publication on SPEC’s website remain the responsibility of the tester. If the result is not accepted for publication on SPEC’s website, the submitter will be contacted and informed of the specific reasons. For example: rule n.n.n was not followed, therefore the result is non-compliant.

Any test result not in full compliance with the run and reporting rules must not be represented using SPEC SFS 2014 metric names or other SPEC trademarks.

The SPEC SFS 2014 metrics must not be associated with any estimated results. The actual, measured SPEC SFS 2014 result must be published in any disclosure. Any derived metric referencing a SPEC trademark may only be published as an addendum to the SPEC SFS 2014 required metrics.
2.1 Fair Use of SPEC SFS® 2014 Results

Consistency and fairness are guiding principles for SPEC. To assure these principles are sustained, guidelines have been created with the intent that they serve as specific guidance for any organization (or individual) that chooses to make public comparisons using SPEC benchmark results. These guidelines are published at: http://www.spec.org/fairuse.html.

2.2 Research and Academic usage of SPEC SFS® 2014

SPEC encourages use of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark in academic and research environments. It is understood that experiments in such environments may be conducted in a less formal fashion than that required of licensees submitting to the SPEC web site or otherwise disclosing valid SPEC SFS 2014 results. For example, a research environment may use early prototype hardware that simply cannot be expected to stay up for the length of time required to run the required number of points, or may use research software that is unsupported and is not generally available. Nevertheless, SPEC encourages researchers to obey as many of the run rules as practical, even for informal research. SPEC suggests that following the rules will improve the clarity, reproducibility, and comparability of research results. Where the rules cannot be followed, SPEC requires the results be clearly distinguished from fully compliant results, such as those officially submitted to SPEC, by disclosing the deviations from the rules and avoiding the use of the metric names.

2.3 SPEC SFS® 2014 metrics

The format that must be used when referencing SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark results depends on the workload. The metrics for each workload are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workload</th>
<th>Business Metric</th>
<th>Workload Metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATABASE</td>
<td>DATABASES</td>
<td>SPEC SFS2014_database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>JOB_SETS</td>
<td>SPEC SFS2014_eda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWBUILD</td>
<td>BUILDS</td>
<td>SPEC SFS2014_swbuild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDA</td>
<td>STREAMS</td>
<td>SPEC SFS2014_vda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDI</td>
<td>DESKTOPS</td>
<td>SPEC SFS2014_vdi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The format to be used for a short disclosure string is:

“XXX SPEC SFS2014_workloadname Business_metric with an overall response time of YYY ms”
e.g.
“205 SPEC SFS2014_vda STREAMS with an overall response time of 2.05 ms”

The XXX should be replaced with the Business_metric value obtained from the right most data point of the Business_metric/response time curve generated by the benchmark. The YYY should be replaced with the overall response time value as generated by the benchmark reporting tools.

A result is only valid for the SPEC SFS 2014 workload that is stated. One cannot compare results of different SPEC SFS 2014 workloads. Results from the different SPEC SFS 2014 workloads are not comparable to each other.
2.4 Full disclosure of benchmark configuration and results

Since it is the intent of these Run and Reporting Rules to provide the standard by which customers can compare and contrast storage solution performance, it is important to provide all the pertinent information about the system tested so this intent can be met. The following describes what is required for full disclosure of benchmark results. It is recognized that all of the following information cannot be provided with each reference to benchmark results. Because of this, there is a minimum amount of information that must always be present (i.e., the SPEC SFS 2014 metrics as specified in the previous section) and upon request, the party responsible for disclosing the benchmark results must provide a full disclosure of the benchmark configuration. Note that SPEC publication requires a full disclosure.

Section 6.1 defines the fields of a full disclosure. It should be sufficient for reproduction of the disclosed benchmark results.

2.5 Disclosure of Results for Electronically Equivalent Systems

The SPEC SFS subcommittee encourages result submitters to run the benchmark on all systems. However, there may be cases where a vendor may choose to submit the same results for multiple submissions, even though the benchmark run was performed on only one of the systems. This is acceptable if the performance reported is representative of those systems (e.g., just the power supply or chassis is different between the systems). These systems are deemed to be "electronically equivalent". A definition of this term which can be applied during SPEC SFS 2014 submission reviews is provided below.

As part of the subcommittee review process, the submitter should expect to be asked to justify why the systems should have the same performance. The subcommittee reserves the right to ask for a rerun on the exact system in situations where the technical criteria are not satisfied. In cases where the subcommittee accepts the submitter's claim of electronic equivalence, the submitter must include a line in the Other Notes section of each of the submissions for systems on which the benchmark was NOT run. For example, if a submitter submits the same results for Model A and Model B, and the benchmark run was performed on Model A, the Model B submission should include a note like the following:

"The benchmark run was performed on a Vendor's Model A system. Vendor's Model A and Vendor's Model B systems are electronically equivalent."

2.5.1 Definition of Electronic Equivalence

For the purpose of SPEC SFS 2014 benchmarking, the basic characteristic of electronically equivalent systems is that there are no noticeable differences in the behavior of the systems under the same environmental conditions specifically in terms of SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark performance, down to the level of electronic signals.

Examples of when systems are considered to be electronically equivalent include:

- Packaging - for example a system that is sold as both a desk side system and rack mount system (where the only difference is the casing) would be considered electronically equivalent. Another example is systems that are sold in a large case (to allow installation of disks internally) and a small case (which requires an external case for disks) but which are otherwise identical.
✓ Naming - for example a system where the vendor has changed the name and/or model number and face plate without changing the internal hardware is considered electronically equivalent.

Examples of when systems are not considered electronically equivalent include:

✓ Different number or types of slots or buses - even if unused, hardware differences such as these may change the behavior of the system at peak performance. These systems are usually referred to as ‘functionally equivalent’.
✓ Vendor fails to convince the committee on technical merits that the systems are electronically equivalent.

3. Benchmark Software Requirements

3.1 Storage Solution Software
The solution must have sufficient software installed to be able to access stable storage. Use of benchmark specific software components or optimizations that are not recommended for end user production solutions are not allowed anywhere in the solution under test.

3.2 Benchmark Source Code Changes
SPEC permits minimal performance-neutral portability changes of the benchmark source. When benchmark source changes are made, an enumeration of the modifications and the specific source changes must be submitted to SPEC prior to result SPEC publication. All modifications must be reviewed and deemed performance neutral by the SFS subcommittee. Results requiring such modifications cannot be published until such time that the SFS subcommittee accepts the modifications as performance neutral.

Source code changes required for standards compliance must be reported to SPEC. Appropriate standards documents must be cited. SPEC may consider incorporating such changes in future releases. Whenever possible, SPEC will strive to develop and enhance the benchmark to be standards-compliant.

Portability changes will generally be allowed if, without the modification, the:

1. Benchmark source will not compile,
2. Benchmark does not execute, or,
3. Benchmark produces results which are incorrectly marked INVALID

4. Storage Solution Configuration and Protocol Requirements

4.1 Shared storage protocol requirements
If a SUT claims a shared storage protocol, for example NFS or SMB, the SUT must adhere to all mandatory parts of the protocol specification.
Examples:
- If the protocol requires UNICODE, then the SUT must support this capability.
- If the protocol used is NFSv3 then the SUT must be compliant with the standard that defines this protocol.

The server must pass the benchmark validation for the tested workload(s). Any protocol used to provide access to benchmark’s data must be disclosed.
4.2 Load Generator configuration requirements

Mixing Windows and Unix clients is not supported. Other heterogeneous environments are likely to work but have not been tested.

4.3 Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014

For a benchmark result to be eligible for disclosure, data written to the API and acknowledged as stable must be in stable storage when acknowledged.

Stable storage is persistent storage that survives:

1. Repeated power failures, including cascading power failures
2. Hardware failures (of any board, power supply, etc.)
3. Repeated software crashes, including reboot cycle
4. A minimum of 72 hours without external power

This definition does not address failure of the persistent storage itself. For example, failures of disks or nonvolatile RAM modules are not addressed in the definition of stable storage. For clarification, the following references and further definition is provided and must be followed for results to be disclosed.

Example:

NFS protocol definition of stable storage and its use

>From Pages 101-102 in RFC 1813:

"4.8 Stable storage

NFS version 3 protocol servers must be able to recover without data loss from multiple power failures including cascading power failures, that is, several power failures in quick succession, operating system failures, and hardware failure of components other than the storage medium itself (for example, disk, nonvolatile RAM).

Some examples of stable storage that are allowable for an NFS server include:

1. Media commit of data, that is, the modified data has been successfully written to the disk media, for example, the disk platter.
2. An immediate reply disk drive with battery-backed on-drive intermediate storage or uninterruptible power system (UPS).
3. Server commit of data with battery-backed intermediate storage and recovery software.
4. Cache commit with uninterruptible power system (UPS) and recovery software.

Conversely, the following are not examples of stable storage:
1. An immediate reply disk drive without battery-backed on-drive intermediate storage or uninterruptible power system (UPS).

2. Cache commit without both uninterruptible power system (UPS) and recovery software.

The only exception to this (introduced in this protocol revision) is as described under the WRITE procedure on the handling of the stable bit, and the use of the COMMIT procedure. It is the use of the synchronous COMMIT procedure that provides the necessary semantic support in the NFS version 3 protocol.

**Example:**

**SMB protocol definition of stable storage and its use**

The SMB2 spec discusses the following flags for write in section 2.2.21:

**Flags (4 bytes):** A Flags field indicates how to process the operation. This field MUST be constructed using zero or more of the following values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_THROUGH 0x00000001</td>
<td>The write data should be written to persistent storage before the response is sent regardless of how the file was opened. This value is not valid for the SMB 2.002 dialect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_UNBUFFERED 0x00000002</td>
<td>The server or underlying object store SHOULD NOT cache the write data at intermediate layers and SHOULD allow it to flow through to persistent storage. This bit is not valid for the SMB 2.002, 2.1, and 3.0 dialects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And in the processing steps in 3.3.5.13:

If Open.IsSharedVHDX is FALSE, the server MUST issue a write to the underlying object store represented by Open.LocalOpen for the length, in bytes, given by Length, at the offset, in bytes, from the beginning of the file, provided in Offset. If Connection.Dialect is not "2.002", and SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_THROUGH is set in the Flags field of the SMB2 WRITE Request, the server SHOULD indicate to the underlying object store that the write is to be written to persistent storage before completion is returned. If the server implements the SMB 3.02 or SMB 3.1 dialect, and if the SMB2_WRITEFLAG_WRITE_UNBUFFERED bit is set in the Flags field of the request, the server SHOULD indicate to the underlying object store that the write data is not to be buffered.

See Microsoft SMB protocol specifications, for full description.
4.3.1 Definition of terms pertinent to stable storage

In order to help avoid further ambiguity in describing "stable storage", the following terms, which are used in subsequent sections, are defined here:

committed data – Data that was written to stable storage from a COMMIT type operation, such as fsync().

non-volatile intermediate storage – electronic data storage media which ensures retention of the data, even in the event of loss of primary power, for the required period for stable storage and which serves as a staging area for written data whose ultimate destination is permanent storage.

permanent storage – data storage media which can retain data for the required period for stable storage without a power source.

external storage service provider – A third party vendor that provides storage as a service. Example: Cloud storage service provider.

non-destructive failure – failure which does not directly cause data housed in intermediate or permanent storage to be lost or overwritten.

transient failure – temporary failure which does not require replacement or upgrade of the failed hardware or software component.

system crash – hardware or software failure which causes file services to no longer be available, at least temporarily, and which requires a reboot of one or more hardware components and/or re-initialization of one or more software components in order for file services to be restored.

SUT (Solution Under Test) – all of the hardware and software components involved in providing file services to the benchmark. It includes the physical and virtual components of the load generators, storage media or external storage provider, and the entire data and control path between the load generators and the storage media or external storage service provider.

4.3.2 Stable storage further defined

SPEC has further clarification of the definition of the term "stable storage" to resolve any potential ambiguity. This clarification is necessary since the definition of stable storage has been, and continues to be, a point of contention. Therefore, for the purposes of the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark, SPEC defines stable storage in terms of the following operational description:

The SUT must be able to tolerate without loss of committed data:

1. Power failures of the solution's primary power source, including cascading power failures, with a total duration of no longer than 72 hours.

2. Non-destructive transient failures of any hardware or software component in the SUT which result in a system crash. Multiple and/or cascading failures are excluded.

3. Manual reset of the entire SUT, or of any of its components involved in providing services, if required to recover from transient failures.
If the SUT allows data to be cached in intermediate storage, after a response to the client indicating that the data has been committed, but before the data is flushed to permanent storage, then there must be a mechanism to ensure that the cached data survives failures of the types defined above.

There is no intention that committed data must be preserved in the face of unbounded numbers of cascading hardware or software errors that happen to combine to prevent the system from performing any significantly useful work. Many solutions provide for further protection against some forms of direct damage to the committed data, but such fault-tolerant features are not a prerequisite for SPEC SFS 2014 result publication. Nevertheless, SPEC SFS 2014 provides a means of characterizing some of these fault-tolerant capabilities of the SUT via the questions listed in the next section.

### 4.3.3 Specifying fault-tolerance features of the SUT

The following questions can help characterize the SUT in terms of its fault-tolerance capabilities beyond those required for SPEC SFS 2014 result publication. You may consider including answers to these questions in the Other Notes section of the reporting form, however, you are not required to do so.

Can the SUT tolerate without loss of committed data:

1. Destructive hardware failures?
2. Destructive software failures?
3. Multiple concurrent failures of one or more of the above?

### 4.3.4 SPEC SFS® 2014 submission form fields related to stable storage

The following fields in the SPEC SFS 2014 result submission form are relevant to a solution’s stable storage implementation, and should contain the information described herein:

1. **Memory.** Specify the size, type, and location of non-volatile intermediate storage used to retain data in the SUT upon loss of primary power. For example: (1) 256 GB battery-backed SDRAM on PCI card in the solution, (2) 64 MB battery-backed SRAM in the disk controller, (3) 400 GB on Hard Disk Drive in the Solution, (4) 80 GB UPS-Backed Main Memory in the solution, etc.

2. **Stable Storage.** Describe the stable storage implementation of the SUT. There must be enough detail to explain how data is protected in the event that a power or non-destructive hardware/software failure occurs. The description must at least include the following points where applicable:
   a. Specify the vendor, model number and capacity (VA) of the UPS, if one is used.
   b. Where does committed data reside at the time of a failure?
   c. How does the SUT recover committed data?
   d. What is the life of any UPS and/or batteries used to implement the stable storage strategy?
   e. How is the system protected from cascading power failures?
   f. If using cloud storage provider, describe how the SLA specifications and descriptions meet the stable storage requirements. This is not availability, but durability in the SLA.
4.3.5 Stable storage examples

Here are two examples of stable storage disclosure using the above rules. They are hypothetical and are not intentionally based on any current product.

Example #1:

UPS: APC Smart-UPS 1400 (1400VA)

Non-volatile intermediate storage Type: (1) 3 TB on Hard Disk Drive in the Server. (2) 1 TB battery-backed DIMM in the disk controller.

Non-volatile intermediate storage Description: (a) During normal operation, the server keeps committed data in system memory that is protected by a server UPS. When the UPS indicates a low battery charge, the server copies this data to local SAS drives. The value of the low battery threshold was chosen to guarantee enough time to flush the data to the local disk several times over. The magnetic media on the disk will hold data indefinitely without any power source. Upon power-up, the server identifies the data on the local drive and retrieves it to resume normal operation. Any hard or soft reset that occurs with power applied to the server will not corrupt committed data in main memory. (b) Committed data is also kept in a DIMM on the disk controller. (c) This DIMM has a 96-hour battery attached to overcome any loss in power. (d) If the disk controller NVRAM battery has less than 72 hours of charge, the disk controller will disable write caching. Reset cycles to the disk controller do not corrupt the data DIMM. Write caching is disabled on all disk drives in the SUT.

Example #2:

UPS: None

Non-volatile intermediate storage Type: 256 GB battery-backed SDRAM on a PCI card.

Non-volatile intermediate storage Description: (a) All data is written to the NVRAM before it is committed to the client and retained until the drive arrays indicate successful transfer to disk. The DIMM on the (c) NVRAM card has a 150-hour battery attached to overcome any loss in power. (b) Upon power-up, the server replays all write commands in the NVRAM before resuming normal operation. (d) The server will flush the data to auxiliary storage and stop serving NFS requests if the charge in the NVRAM battery ever falls below 72 hours. Write caching is disabled on all disk drives in the SUT.

5. Benchmark Execution Requirements

This section details the requirements governing how the benchmark is to be executed for the purpose of generating results for disclosure.

5.1 Valid methods for benchmark execution

The benchmark must always be executed by using the SfsManager on the prime client.
5.2 Solution File System Creation and Configuration

The components of the solution that hold the data and/or metadata for the file systems under test must follow the stable storage requirements detailed in the section 4.3 “Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014” above.

It is not necessary to (re-)initialize the solution under test prior to a benchmark run. However, in the full disclosure report for a benchmark run, any configuration steps or actions taken since the last (re-)initialization must be documented for each component of the solution. The documentation must be detailed enough to allow reproduction of results. If the solution was initialized immediately prior to the benchmark run, then no additional documentation is required.

Components that do not hold the data and/or metadata for the file systems under test may be excluded from these documentation requirements, but a statement explaining that the component does not hold data or metadata for the file systems under test is required. Examples of such components include: non-adaptive network switches, local disks in load generators when they do not hold the file systems under test, or administrative infrastructure necessary for running virtualized environments (e.g. vCenter server).

For a component to be considered “(re-)initialized”, it must have been returned to a state such that it does not contain any cached data or metadata related to the file systems under test. For a SUT where some components are not fully under the control of the test sponsor, such as when cloud storage is being used, such components should be (re-)initialized to the fullest extent possible and documented. The steps used to (re-)initialize each component must be documented, except where excluded from such documentation above.

5.3 Data Point Specification for Results Disclosure

The result of benchmark execution is a set of business metric / response time data points for the solution under test which defines a performance curve. The measurement of all data points used to define this performance curve must be made within a single benchmark run, starting with the lowest requested load level and proceeding to the highest requested load level.

Published benchmark results must include at least 10 load points (excluding a business metric of zero). The load points should be as uniformly spaced as possible. Each load point must be within 30% of its nominal uniformly-spaced value. The nominal interval spacing is the maximum requested load divided by the number of requested load points. Note that this means the distance between zero and the first requested load point must also fall within the nominal interval spacing. The solution under test must be able to support a load of at least 10 business metrics to be publishable.

Any invalid data points will invalidate the entire run.

No manual server or testbed configuration changes, server reboots, or file system initialization (e.g., “newfs/format”) are allowed during the execution of the benchmark or between data point collection. If any requested load level or data point must be rerun for any reason, the entire benchmark execution must be restarted, i.e., the series of requested load levels repeated in whole. Note that if the SUT had been in a re-initialized state before the previous run, it must be re-initialized again or additional documentation requirements will come into effect. See section 5.2 for more details.
5.4 Overall response time calculation

The overall response time is an indicator of how quickly the system under test responds to operations over the entire range of the tested load. Mathematically, the value is derived by calculating the area under the curve divided by the peak throughput. This calculation does not include an assumed origin point, only measured data points.

5.5 Benchmark Modifiable Parameters

The benchmark has a number of parameters which are configurable. These configuration parameters are set by using the rc file on the prime client. **Only certain parameters may be modified for a publishable run.**

5.5.1 Configuring Benchmark Parameters

Once you have the clients and server configured, you must set some parameters for the benchmark itself, which you do in a file called the “sfs_rc file”. The actual name of the file is a prefix picked by you, and the suffix “_rc”. The default version shipped with the benchmark is delivered as “sfs_rc” in the benchmark source directory. One may use any text editor to modify parameters in the rc files.

There are several parameters you must set, and several others you may change to suit your needs while performing a disclosable run. There are also many other parameters you may change which change the benchmark behavior, but lead to a non-disclosable run (for example, turning on the PIT_SERVER). See the SPEC SFS 2014 Run Rules for the classification of all the parameters.

The parameters you must set are:

1. **BENCHMARK**: The name of the workload to run. DATABASE, EDA, SWBUILD, VDA, or VDI.

2. **CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS**: This parameter specifies the names of the file systems the clients will use when testing the storage solution. The business metric values are spread among the client mount points in the following way. If the number of items N in the CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS list is greater than the business metric value L (the current value for LOAD), then the first L items from the list are used, one business metric value per client/mountpoint. If L>N, then the N+1 business metric value will wrap around to the beginning of the list and allocation proceeds until all L business metrics have been allocated, wrapping around to the beginning of the list as many times as is necessary.

Examples:

For an NFS configuration:

```plaintext
client_name:/mount_point_path   client_name:/mount_point_path
```

For a SMB configuration: (client_name followed by UNC path)

```plaintext
client_name:\server\path   client_name:\server\path   ...
```
When using an external file: (CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS=mountpoints.txt), the syntax for each line in the file is “client_name path”. Multiple mountpoints for a single load generator can be specified on the same line, separated by spaces. However, because the CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS list is used in order, this may create artificial bottlenecks if not done carefully. The lines do not need to be unique. For example:

```plaintext
client1 /mnt
client1 /mnt
client2 /mnt
client3 /mnt1
client3 /mnt2
```

**Reminder:** If using Windows load generators, the Prime client must not be listed in the CLIENT_MOUNTPOINTS list.

3. **LOAD, INCR_LOAD, and NUM_RUNS:** These parameters specify the aggregate load the clients will generate. To test a set of evenly spaced load points, set all three parameters. Set LOAD to the lowest load level, set INCR_LOAD the amount you would like to increase the load for each measured run, and set NUM_RUNS to the number of times you would like to increment the load. This is the easiest way to configure a disclosable run. For example, if you would like to measure 10 evenly spaced points ending at 2000, you would set LOAD to 200, INCR_LOAD to 200 and NUM_RUNS to 10.

   When choosing LOAD values please take into consideration the amount of RAM that these workloads will consume on the client.

   - DATABASE = 55 MiB per DATABASE
   - EDA = 12 MiB per JOB_SET
   - SWBUILD = 400 MiB per BUILD
   - VDA = 10 MiB per STREAM
   - VDI = 8 MiB per DESKTOP

   Also, please consider the capacity requirements for each LOAD increment.

   - DATABASE = 24 GiB per DATABASE
   - EDA = 11 GiB per JOB_SET
   - SWBUILD = 5 GiB per BUILD
   - VDA = 24 GiB per STREAM
   - VDI = 12 GiB per DESKTOP

4. **EXEC_PATH:** Set this to the absolute path to the benchmark executable. The same path will be used on all clients, so the executable must be at the same path on all clients.

5. **USER:** Set this to the User ID for launching the benchmark on all clients. (On Windows systems this includes the Domain/User) E.g. DOMAIN\User33

6. **PASSWORD:** Set this to the account password for running the benchmark. (Windows clients only)

5.5.1.1 Other Variables in the RC File

In addition to the parameters required to be changed for a run, the following parameters are optionally adjustable in the RC file – note that some may not be changed or set for a publishable run:
1. **PRIME_MON_SCRIPT** and **PRIME_MON_ARGS**: This is the name (and argument list) of a program which the SPEC SFS 2014 benchmark will execute during the various phases of the benchmark. This is often used to start some performance measurement program while the benchmark is running to assist with debugging and tuning your system. An example monitor script – `sfs_ext_mon` – is provided in the SPEC SFS 2014 source directory. For a disclosable run, this program/script must be performance neutral and its actions must comply with the SPEC SFS® 2014 SP2 Run and Reporting Rules. If this option is used, the script used, as well as the contents of the PRIME_MON_ARGS parameter, must be disclosed in the Other Solution Notes (otherSutNotes) field. Longer scripts may be attached as a configuration diagram and referenced in the Other Solution Notes (otherSutNotes) field.

2. **WARMUP_TIME**: Sets the duration of the WARMUP phase in seconds. This may be set to a value between 300 seconds and one week (604,800 seconds.) If this value is modified, the value used must be noted in the Other Solution Notes (otherSutNotes) field of the disclosure.

3. **RUNTIME***: Sets the duration of the RUN (measurement) phase of the benchmark.

4. **WORKLOAD_FILE***: Used to import custom workload objects.

5. **IPV6_ENABLE**: Flag to set for when the benchmark should use IPv6 to communicate with other benchmark processes.

6. **INIT_RATE**: Set dataset creation rate throttle such that no proc will exceed this rate in MiB/s during the INIT phase

7. **CLIENT_MEM***: Used to tell the benchmark the amount of RAM in the client. This is used to set the file size the benchmark will use if the FILE_SIZE parameter is not set in the RC or benchmarks.xml file. This parameter has no effect for any publishable workloads.

8. **AGGR_CAP***: Used to tell the benchmark the maximum aggregate data set size. This is used to set the file size the benchmark will use if the FILE_SIZE parameter is not set in the RC or benchmarks.xml file. This parameter has no effect for any publishable workloads.

9. **FILE_SIZE***: Used to tell the benchmark to create files of a particular size size. This overrides the effects of CLIENT_MEM and AGGR_CAP, both of which are ignored if this is set. This is overridden by the value set in benchmarks.xml for the requested workload.

10. **DIR_COUNT***: Used to specify the number of subdirectories at the leaf level. This is overridden by the value set in benchmarks.xml for the requested workload.

11. **FILES_PER_DIR***: Used to specify the number of files in each leaf subdirectory. This is overridden by the value set in benchmarks.xml for the requested workload.

12. **UNLINK_FILES***: Specify whether to delete the dataset between load points. This is not recommended for common test cases.

13. **LATENCY_GRAPH***: Specify whether to or not to enable timers to keep track of latency per op type. Enabling this prints out a table of op type latencies and overall latency histogram for each iteration of the benchmark. If this value is not present in the RC file, the behavior will be enabled by SfsManager.

14. **HEARTBEAT_NOTIFICATIONS***: Specify whether or not to display heartbeat notifications during all phases of benchmark execution to indicate ongoing test activity. If this value is not present in the RC file, the behavior will be enabled by SfsManager.

15. **DISABLE_FSYNCS**: Disables fsync() calls in the load generator.

16. **USE_RSHRCP**: Use RSH instead of SSH to start remote processes on UNIX platforms.

17. **BYTE_OFFSET***: Over-ride beginning offset of files – adds a fixed-length offset to change the beginning offset of every file.

18. **MAX_FD***: Sets the maximum number of file descriptors each proc can use.

19. **PIT_SERVER**: Name of the server running the Programmable Interval Timer server.

20. **PIT_PORT**: TCP port number to access the PIT on the remote PIT server.

21. **LOCAL_ONLY***: Use sh instead of ssh/rsh – confines the benchmark to run only on the prime client. This works on UNIX and Windows systems. This option is for benchmark development testing purposes only and not recommended for use. This option may be deprecated in future releases.
22. **FILE_ACCESS_LIST***: If enabled, the benchmark will dump a list of all files accessed during each load point.

23. **SHARING_MODE***: If enabled, the dataset for a single business metric is shared between all procs in that business metric.

24. **SOCK_DEBUG***: Enables TCP socket debug logging.

25. **TRACEDEBUG***: Enables tracing of file system operations for each proc.

26. **NO_SHARED_BUCKETS***: Disable creation of dataset for unused op types and sharing of dataset between op types.

27. **NO_OP_VALIDATE***: Disable validation that all op types can succeed on file systems under test before each load point.

28. **UNLINK2_NO_RECREATE***: Suppress recreation of files (with non-zero size) after unlink2.

29. **NETMIST_LOGS**: Used to set a non-default location for the netmist_C*.log files. If this isn’t set either /tmp/ or c:\tmp will be used.

*This parameter may not be changed or set to a non-default value for a publishable run.

6. **SFS Submission File and Reporting Form Rules**

There are rules associated with the fields in the submission report and in the corresponding sections of the reporting form. Rules for valid and/or required values in the fields are described below. The description for the Processing Elements field is complex enough that it is contained in its own subsection after the table.

### 6.1 Submission Report Field Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Valid Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>specSFS2014</td>
<td>The entire set of information contained in an info file is covered under this top-level tag.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. solutionInfo</td>
<td>The information about the solution in the report.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . vendorAndProducts</td>
<td>A collection of vendor, general product, and license info.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . testedBy</td>
<td>The name of the SPEC licensee who is publishing this report.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . submissionTitle</td>
<td>The submission title for the SPEC publication. Typically this is the component that is being featured in this SPEC publication.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . hardwareAvailable</td>
<td>The date all of the solution's hardware is available for the public to acquire (by purchase, lease, or other arrangement). Note that this is the latest availability date for the hardware components described in the Bill of Materials for the SUT.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . softwareAvailable</td>
<td>The date the solution's software is available for the public to acquire. Note that this is the latest availability date for the software components described in the Bill of Materials for the SUT.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . dateTested</td>
<td>The date the solution was tested.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . licenseNumber</td>
<td>The SPEC SFS 2014 License number for the company</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SPEC SFS® 2014 SP2 Run and Reporting Rules Version 1.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>. . licenseeLocation</td>
<td>A free-form description of the Licensee's location (e.g. city, state, country).</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . otherMarketingInfo</td>
<td>A free-form description of the component that is being featured in this SPEC publication. (Marketing text)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . SUTBomList</td>
<td>The Bill of Materials for the SUT. This list should be sufficient for anyone to purchase and physically configure an identical system to the SUT. (Small components such as power and network cables that would be obviously necessary to complete a configuration may be left out as long as there are no performance-sensitive differences in part choices.) This should include the names and versions of any software used in the SUT.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . bomItem</td>
<td>A single record in the SUTBomList.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . quantity</td>
<td>The number of this item used in the SUT.</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . type</td>
<td>The BOM item type of the associated BOM item - this designates what functionality this item provides to the configuration. Recommended values include but aren't limited to: Disk, Disk Enclosure, Disk Controller, FC Switch, Ethernet Switch, Server, Infiniband Switch, and Software, Cloud Services, External Services.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . vendor</td>
<td>A string that names the supplier of this component or service.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . model</td>
<td>The model number or name that uniquely identifies this item for ordering purposes. For services, include the marketing name or name of the SLA.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . description</td>
<td>A free-form description of the named item. For services, include the operational parameters in the agreement.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . configDiagramList</td>
<td>A series of pictures that form a functional block diagram of the solution under test. All components described in the SUTBomList and how they are connected should be represented. Repeated components such as disk drives can be indicated with an ellipsis.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . configDiagram</td>
<td>A name and file name pair for one member of the list.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . name</td>
<td>The name to appear in a link to a configuration diagram.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . ref</td>
<td>The file name of the configuration diagram. Diagrams must be in a format that is compatible with modern web browsers. For example, JPEG, or PNG formats.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . componentSoftwareList</td>
<td>List of physical or virtual software components.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . environmentType</td>
<td>Physical or Virtual</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Item</td>
<td>Information about the software running on the components of the solution being tested.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component Name</td>
<td>Name of the component. Examples include but are not limited to: switch, filer, array, load generator, disk, network switch, NIC, HBA, BIOS, BMC.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Type</td>
<td>Examples include but are not limited to: Operating system, Filesystem, Firmware. Capture firmware versions where these are not implied by a higher-level hardware or software version.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Version</td>
<td>The name and version of the software or firmware providing the service being used.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Description</td>
<td>Description of the software functionality.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWConfig And Tuning List</td>
<td>List of physical or virtual hardware</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Type</td>
<td>Physical or Virtual</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWComponent Config</td>
<td>Tuning information for the system under test.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component Name</td>
<td>Name of component in the SUT</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWComp Configuration</td>
<td>Component configuration and initialization information</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW Tuning List</td>
<td>A sequence of descriptions of tunings used on the component.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Param Name</td>
<td>The name of the tuning parameter that was set. (e.g. TCP Offload, thermostat setting, irq coalescence, cpu speed/turbo mode, power management, drive spin-down policy, liquid nitrogen injection rate)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Param Value</td>
<td>The value to which the associated tuning parameter was set. (e.g. turbo mode disabled, thermostat set to 10 C)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Param Desc</td>
<td>A description of the effect of the associated tuning parameter.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWComp Tuning Notes</td>
<td>A free-form text field for additional server tuning notes for the system under test. Note changes to any configuration files or non-standard options used here if they are not covered in the server tuning table. If any entry in the server tuning table needs further explanation, that explanation should go here.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Config and Tuning</td>
<td>Tuning information for the system under test.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Component Configuration</td>
<td>Component configuration and initialization information</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW Component Name</td>
<td>Name of component or service in the SUT</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SWTuningList
A sequence of descriptions of tunings used on the component. Does not contain a value.

#### paramName
The name of the tuning parameter that was set. (e.g. NFS Threads) String

#### paramValue
The value to which the associated tuning parameter was set. (e.g. 256 Threads) String

#### paramDesc
A description of the effect of the associated tuning parameter. String

#### SWCompTuningNotes
A free-form text field for additional server tuning notes for the system under test. Note changes to any configuration files or non-standard options used here if they are not covered in the server tuning table. If any entry in the server tuning table needs further explanation, that explanation should go here. String

#### ServiceSLAs
If any component is a service then supply the agreement details for each component/agreement. String

#### StorageAndFilesystems
A collection of information about the storage and filesystems in the SUT. String

#### StorageSetList
A list of groups of storage components in the SUT. A storage component provides durable storage. That is, it holds information including the filesystem data of the benchmark that persists beyond the loss of power to the SUT. All storage components in the SUT must be accounted for in the list. Does not contain a value.

#### StorageSetItem
A collection of storage components in the SUT. Does not contain a value.

#### quantity
The total number of storage components in this set. Does not contain a value.

#### usableGib
The raw capacity, in GiB, of the solution under test. This space may be consumed by data or filesystem metadata. It does not include data protection overhead or any other information needed to make the group or an individual storage component usable. The size specified here must be in GiB, if greater than 1 TiB the value will be scaled in generated reports. For cloud storage, this must come from the SLA. Integer

#### description
A free-form description of any important features of the collection such as the type of the individual disks and their data protection scheme. For traditional disks, and solid state devices, this should include their raw size, the rotational speed, and the kind of interconnect if not reported in the Bill of Materials. For external services it should include the available space, interconnect, bandwidth, SLA information. Decimal

#### DataProtection
Describe data protection, and also describe if there is none. String
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>. . . StableStorage</td>
<td>Whether or not this storage is stable storage (yes/no). Description of stable storage of committed writes that meet</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the persistence requirements of the run rules for each StorageSet should be put into storageAndFsNotes if that</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>StorageSet is claimed to be stable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . fsInfo</td>
<td>Information about the filesystem(s) used in the test.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . fsType</td>
<td>The name and version of the filesystem(s) type used in the test. Example: ext3, (ext3-&gt;NFSv3), (ntfs-&gt;SMB2), (WAFL- &gt; iSCSI-&gt;ext3-&gt;smb2 ), (Uxfs-&gt;NFSv3-&gt;vmfs-&gt;ext3)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . fsQuantity</td>
<td>The number of filesystems, as defined by the solution, used in the test. Example: 3(Ext3), 2(iSCSI-&gt;ext3) &amp; 2(ext3- &gt;NFSv3)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . totalCapacity</td>
<td>The total usable capacity of the storage solution visible to the benchmark. You must specify units - for example, &quot;760 GiB&quot; or &quot;4.5 TiB&quot;.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . fsCreation</td>
<td>A free-form description of how the filesystems were created including any specific options. Include any additional</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>steps used to configure the storage underlying the filesystem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . storageAndFsNote</td>
<td>An optional free-form block of additional information about the storage components and filesystem configuration.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . TransportList</td>
<td>A sequence of descriptions of transport interfaces contained in the SUT.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . environmentType</td>
<td>Physical or Virtual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . TransportInterface</td>
<td>A transport interface in a component in the SUT.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . TransportType</td>
<td>The type of transports supported, a protocol, and a purpose. Examples: NIC_10Gbe Load generator, FCP SAN, NIC_1Gbe Load</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>generator, Infiniband RDMA Storage server.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . portsUsed</td>
<td>The number of ports on this interface used in the test. If the choice of ports is significant, then name the ports</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>used in the notes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . interfaceNotes</td>
<td>A free-form description of additional information about the interface including any special configuration options used.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . transportConfigurationNotes</td>
<td>A free-form description of the transport configuration used in the system under test.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . SwitchList</td>
<td>List of transport switches</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . environmentType</td>
<td>Physical or Virtual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . switchItem</td>
<td>A switch</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . switchName</td>
<td>Identifier for this switch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>switchType</strong></td>
<td>Type of switch and purpose. Examples: 10Gbe load generator interconnect, 1Gbe load generator interconnect. Virtual_SAN Storage server.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>switchPortCount</strong></td>
<td>Number of ports used</td>
<td>String, (Integer, or dynamic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>switchPortAvail</strong></td>
<td>Number of switch ports configured.</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>switchNotes</strong></td>
<td>Other notes describing switch configuration. If uplinks are used, please document them here.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>processingElements</strong></td>
<td>Processing elements information for the SUT. See section 6.2 for details.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>environmentType</strong></td>
<td>Physical or Virtual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>processingElementList</strong></td>
<td>A list of unique processing elements in the SUT.</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>procElement</strong></td>
<td>A unique processing element (general-purpose CPU, vCPU, ASIC, FPGA, etc.) in the SUT.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>procLocation</strong></td>
<td>Location or associated component. Example: Storage server, load generator.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>quantity</strong></td>
<td>Number of processing elements of the specified type.</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>type</strong></td>
<td>The type of processing element, e.g., general-purpose vCPU, CPU, ASIC, FPGA, etc.</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>description</strong></td>
<td>A description of the key technical characteristics of the processing element. A description of the memory contained within the processing elements does not need to be included here, but may be required in the top-level Memory field. Refer to that field for instructions. Manufacturer and model number.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>processingFunction</strong></td>
<td>A high-level description of the processing function(s) that the processing element performs, e.g., NFS, CIFS, TCP/IP, RAID, etc.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>procElementNotes</strong></td>
<td>Any other relevant description of the processing elements, e.g., the location of the elements within the system architecture.</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**memory**

A collection of information about every unique set of memory in the SUT for which the sum of the memory of that given type is greater than 2 percent of the total memory in the system. This should include such components as storage processors, RAID controllers, gate arrays, and TCP/IP-offload engines. It also includes the main memory, excluding processor caches, of all components of the SUT with the exception of components that only support administrative functions such as a remote console. Other exceptions may be considered by the review committee but should be requested prior to submission. Note that the greater-than-2%-limit applies to the set not an individual. If many individual components sum to greater than 2% of all memory in the system, then they should be included. Memory contained in an external service does not need to be reported. Example: Amazon S3 service. All that can be reported is the SLA, not the hardware details.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>. . environmentType</th>
<th>Physical or Virtual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>. . memorySetList</td>
<td>A sequence of descriptions of distinct memory groupings in the SUT. Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . memorySet</td>
<td>A distinct grouping of memory in the SUT. Does not contain a value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . sizeGib</td>
<td>The number of GiB of usable memory in this group - may be a fractional amount (e.g. 0.5, 1.5). Float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . quantity</td>
<td>The number of instances of this memory grouping in the SUT Decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . nonVolatile</td>
<td>NV - memory is nonvolatile - or V - memory is volatile. If NV is specified, then the memory group description text should explain how the persistence is accomplished and the time span of the persistence. String matching pattern: ^N?V$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . description</td>
<td>A free-form description of this class of memory. String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . memoryNotes</td>
<td>An optional free-form description of additional information about the solution or the overall reporting. String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . stableStorage</td>
<td>A free-form description of how the SUT conforms to the SPEC SFS 2014 Stable Storage requirement. (See Description of Stable Storage for SPEC SFS 2014.) String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . . . sutConfigNotes</td>
<td>A free-form description of additional information needed to reproduce the test using the above equipment. This is a description of the solution diagram. String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . . . otherSutNotes</td>
<td>An optional free-form description of additional information about the SUT. String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . . testInfo</td>
<td>Information about how the test was run. Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . . . . . . . . . dataFlow</td>
<td>Free form text section that describes the distribution of the load across the workdirs and filesystems. It would be helpful to reference config diagrams. String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
| . . otherTestNotes | An optional freeform description of additional information about the test environment and/or execution. | String |
| . . otherReportNotes | Optional free form description of additional information about the system for the overall reporting. Examples: Trademarks, copyrights. | String |
| . . submissionInfo | Information about the SPEC result submission that is relevant to SPEC submission reviewers. This information is not displayed in the final submission reports. | Does not contain a value |
| . . submitterName | The name of the person submitting this SPEC SFS 2014 result. | String |
| . . submitterEmail | The email address of the person submitting this SPEC SFS 2014 result. | String |
| . . reviewersComments | Additional comments about the submission to help with the review process. This might include descriptions of tunables and other information that might be relevant during the review process. | String |
| . . results | Results section | Does not contain a value |
| . . result | List of results | Does not contain a value |
| . . valid | Whether the given data point in the results is valid. Can be Y or N. | String (Y or N) |
| . . businessMetric | The requested business metric | Integer |
| . . requestedOpRate | The requested Op rate. | Decimal |
| . . achievedOpRate | The achieved Op rate | Decimal |
| . . responseTime | The response time in msec | Decimal |
| . . overallThroughput | The overall throughput in KiB/sec | Decimal |
| . . overallReadThroughput | The overall read throughput in KiB/sec | Decimal |
| . . overallWriteThroughput | The overall write throughput in KiB/sec | Decimal |
| . . runTime | Run time in seconds | Integer |
| . . clientCount | Number of clients | Integer |
| . . procPerClient | Processes per client | Integer |
| . . avgFileSize | Average file size in kilobytes | Integer |
| . . clientDataSetSize | Client dataset size in MiB | Integer |
| . . startingDatasetSize | Starting data set size in MiB | Integer |
| . . initialFileSize | Initial file space in MiB | Integer |
| . . maxFileSize | Maximum file space used in MiB | Integer |
| . . benchmarkName | Name of the benchmark metric. Example: DATABASE, EDA, SWBUILD, VDA, VDI. | String |
### 6.2 Processing Elements Field Description

The Processing Elements field should include a description of all the major processing elements involved in servicing the requests generated by the benchmark, and in producing responses to those requests. This description may include, but is not limited to, those elements involved in processing for the client file I/O requests.

An example of a typical system architecture showing processing elements that should be described is provided in the diagram below. The description does not need to include processing elements used solely for the purpose of system management activities that do not impact the processing of SPEC SFS 2014 requests in any way (e.g., monitoring control processors). Processing elements internal to switches do not need to be included.

These sub-fields show up in a table in the submission form and should include the following information about the processing elements:

1. **Item**: Item number, one row for each different type of processing element.
2. **Qty**: Number of processing elements of the specified type.
3. **Type**: The type of processing element, e.g., general-purpose CPU, ASIC, etc.
4. **Description**: A description of the key technical characteristics of the processing element. A description of the memory contained within the processing elements does not need to be included here, but may be required in the top-level Memory field. Refer to that field for instructions.
5. **Processing Function**: A high-level description of the processing function(s) that the processing element performs, e.g., NFS, CIFS, TCP/IP, RAID, Wan acceleration, etc.

If the processing elements are general purpose CPUs (or processors), the Qty field should contain the number of processors in the system. It is assumed that processors can be described as containing one or more "chips", each of which contains some number of "cores", each of which can run some number of hardware "threads". Therefore, the following the following characteristics of the CPUs should be provided under the Description field:

1. Name: A manufacturer-determined processor formal name.
2. Speed: A numeric value expressed in megahertz or gigahertz. The value here is the speed at which the CPU is run.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>validRun</td>
<td>Marks run valid or invalid</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resultCompliance</td>
<td>Information detailing the compliance or non-compliance of this result. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)</td>
<td>Does not contain a value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compliantResult</td>
<td>Whether this SPEC result is compliant with the run and reporting rules. Can be Y or N. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)</td>
<td>String (y/n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonComplianceReason</td>
<td>A free-form text description with details of why this result is non-compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonComplianceRemedy</td>
<td>A free-form text description with details of what would make the result compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonComplianceNotes</td>
<td>A free-form text description with details of possible reasons this result might be non-compliant. (Reserved for use by the SPEC office)</td>
<td>String</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3) Cores Enabled. Number of processor cores enabled during the test
4) Chips Enabled. Number of processor chips enabled during the test
5) Cores/Chip. Number of processor cores that are manufactured into a chip (irrespective of whether or not the cores are enabled)
6) HW Threads/Core. Number of hardware threads enabled per core during the test
7) Characteristics: Any other description necessary to disambiguate which processor is used, in case CPU Name and CPU Speed are not sufficient (e.g., L1/L2/L3 cache sizes, etc.)

If the processing elements are not general-purpose CPUs, e.g., application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs) such as FPGAs, the Qty field should contain the number of ICs (chips) used during the test. In addition, the Description field should include details on meaningful technical characteristics of the processing element, e.g., manufacturer part number, clock speed, etc. It does not have to be as structured a description as it is for general-purpose CPUs.

Any other relevant description of the processing elements, e.g., the location of the elements within the system architecture, may be included under the Processing Elements Notes field.

The diagram in section 6.4 is provided solely as an example to help identify processing elements that should be disclosed. It is not intended to represent the system architecture of any specific product. The purple boxes are examples of the important processing functions to keep in mind when trying to identify the key processing elements in your SUT.

6.3 Memory elements field description

A collection of information about every unique set of memory in the SUT for which the sum of the memory of that given type is greater than 2 percent of all the memory in the system. This should include such components as storage processors, RAID controllers, gate arrays, and TCP/IP-offload engines. It also includes the main memory, excluding processor caches, of all components of the SUT with the exception of components that only support administrative functions such as a remote console. Other exceptions may be considered by the review committee but should be requested prior to submission. Note that the greater-than-2%-limit applies to the set not an individual. If many individual components sum to greater than 2% of all memory in the system, then they should be included. Memory contained in an external service does not need to be reported. Example: Amazon S3 service. All that can be reported is the SLA, not the hardware details. See purple boxes in diagram section 6.4.
6.4 Solution under test diagram
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